1	TOWN OF SUNAPEE		
2	ZONING BOARD		
3	SEPTEMBER 6, 2018		
4 5	<b>PRESENT:</b> Daniel Schneider, Chair; Aaron Simpson, Vice Chair; William Larrow; James Lyons, Jr.; George Neuwirt; Nicole Gage, Zoning Administrator		
6	ABSENT: Clayton Platt, Alternate		
7	ALSO PRESENT: See Sign-in Sheet		
8	Chairman Schneider called the meeting to order at 7:00 pm.		
9	MINUTES		
10 11	<u>Changes to the minutes from August 2, 2018:</u> Change Line 30 to read "though a smaller shed on the knoll could go within the setbacks"		
12	There was a brief discussion regarding Muzzey Brook and the water flow.		
13 14	Mr. Lyons made a motion to accept the minutes as written. Mr. Larrow seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.		
15	ZONING AMENDMENTS		
16 17 18 19	Chairman Schneider said that there will be a joint meeting of the Planning and Zoning Boards on September 13, 2018 to discuss the Zoning Amendments. Chairman Schneider gave a copy of the discussed Zoning Amendments to the Board for their review and explained the only change since the last revision was to Section 6.13 as it mistakenly said it was created in 2017 and should have said 2018.		
20 21 22 23 24 25	Vice Chair Simpson said that he has some changes that he'd like to propose but he can bring them up to the Planning Board himself if needed. The first is regarding patios as they are defined as minor structures not needing a Certificate of Zoning Compliance (CZC) and he wonders why a patio would not need a CZC if it is in the Shoreland District. Ms. Gage explained that patios are permitted, however, Land Disturbance Permits and DES Permits are still required; they do not require Variances or Special Exceptions. There was further discussion regarding this matter.		
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33	Vice Chair Simpson asked if "Less Non-Conforming" should be defined and if something that is less non-conforming requires a Variance if it is still within the setbacks but reduced. Chairman Schneider said that he does not think that a structure that is increasing the setback would require a Variance. Ms. Gage said that she is currently working with someone who wants to reconstruct a building that is already in the setback into a new building with a slightly smaller envelope but a different use so she believes that they need a Variance. The Board discussed and agreed to propose changing Section 6.12 to read "may be replaced in the same or smaller envelope by a new structure". There was a discussion regarding Section 3.50 (i)(1) as the Board feels that it is redundant given Section 6.13.		

- 34 Ms. Gage said that she would like clarification as to when a CZC is required under Section 8.20. She
- attended a Board of Selectmen meeting and there was a discussion regarding this issue and it opened up
- 36 a lot of questions; more specifically Section 8.21 (e). The Board determined that this is not something
- that they get involved with and it is something to discuss with the Planning Board. Vice Chair Simpson
- 38 said that he thinks that there is a problem because exterior improvements are not included in the
- 39 wording. Chairman Schneider said that one issue that he has is that the CZC's are used as tools for
- 40 assessing. Vice Chair Simpson agreed that he thinks that is a mis-use of them but said that it does not
- 41 have anything to do with the Zoning Board.
- 42 Ms. Gage said that there are other parts of the Ordinance that may not have anything to do with the
- 43 Zoning Board but she would like to recommend some potential changes, mostly having to do with
- 44 erosion and sedimentation control plans and correcting some references that may be outdated. There
- 45 was a discussion regarding this matter. The Board recommended Ms. Gage speak with Charlie Hirshberg
- 46 regarding this issue.
- 47 Vice Chair Simpson said that Section 3.50 (f) could be broken up into subsections like 3.50 (a) and (b)
- 48 and (i). Chairman Schneider said that he does not know what the term "the ZBA may allow additions to
- 49 the structure" means. Vice Chair Simpson said that he thought it meant that this could be allowed if
- additions are further out of the setback. Chairman Schneider asked if someone is allowed to expand a
- 51 structure along a setback while not encroaching further on the setback. Vice Chair Simpson agreed that
- 52 is how he reads it. Chairman Schneider said that it should say this if it is what it means. Mr. Lyons said
- 53 that it would make the property more non-conforming. Chairman Schneider said that he thinks that is
- 54 what it means. The Board decided to speak to the Planning Board regarding this matter.
- 55 There was a discussion regarding defining primary structures and about the hierarchy of structures in
- 56 Section 3.50 (b)(2).
- 57 Craig Goodwin, 138 Hamel Rd, said that he's been trying to learn more about the Zoning Ordinances so
- he can comply with them and asked if the Board thinks that Section 3.50 (i) is redundant because of
- 59 Section 6.12. Chairman Schneider said that Section 3.50 (i)(1) is redundant because it is permitted by
- 60 right; the parts of the Ordinance that pertain to height are not superseded by anything. Vice Chair
- 61 Simpson said he does not understand why Section 3.50 (i) only references houses, garages, or
- 62 commercial buildings and not other structures.
- 63 MOTION FOR REHEARING FOR CASE #ZBA18-12: RSA 677:2 AND 677:3; VARIANCE DENIED
- 64 08/02/2018; MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION RECEIVED 08/22/2018; SUSAN KENT, APPLICANT /
- 65 OWNER; PARCEL ID: 0104-0006-0000: 1008 MAIN ST, GEORGES MILLS: VARIANCE FROM ARTICLE III,
- 66 SECTION 3.40 (C) FOR A PROPOSED STORAGE SHED TO BE PLACED WITHIN 50-FT OF MUZZEY CREEK
- 67 (BODY OF WATER).
- 68 Chairman Schneider asked and there was no one in attendance regarding the case.
- 69 Chairman Schneider asked if anyone on the Board saw any new evidence that was not available when
- 70 the case was first presented. Vice Chair Simpson said that the Board could have been more detailed in

- 71 their denial. Chairman Schneider agreed and said that he does not think that the proposal meets the
- 72 criteria related to public interest. Vice Chair Simpson said that the Board also could have said that there
- is not a hardship because the shed could have been built within the setbacks versus asking for a
- 74 Variance. Mr. Larrow said that Ms. Kent did not want to build a smaller shed to be able to put it within
- 75 the setback. Chairman Schneider said that he does not find that having more things than your house
- 76 will hold to be a hardship. Vice Chair Simpson said that there was not a hardship because there was
- space available on Ms. Kent's property to build a shed, however, she did not want to do that.
- 78 Mr. Lyons said that the issue is if the Board should rehear the case or not; not discuss the pros and cons
- of the case. Vice Chair Simpson said that they are discussing things that would be addressed if the case
- 80 was reheard. Mr. Lyons said that the issue is to rehear the case. Mr. Larrow said that it has to be based
- on new evidence. Mr. Lyons said that there is no one at the meeting to present new evidence.
- 82 Chairman Schneider explained that the evidence was submitted in the documents the Board received in
- their meeting packet but he did not see anything new. Vice Chair Simpson said that the only thing
- 84 different was that snow could fall into the shed in a different location and that the shed cannot be built
- within the setbacks. Mr. Lyons said that he is not sure that he believes that. Mr. Larrow said that he
- does not understand the issue with the snow falling and how it is relevant. There was further discussion
- 87 regarding this matter.
- 88 Vice Chair Simpson said that Mr. Neuwirt recused himself as a member of the Board and participated as
- 89 a citizen, as was his right. Mr. Lyons said that he did not understand Ms. Kent's attorney's comment
- 90 regarding Mr. Neuwirt because he does not surrender his rights as a citizen and appropriately recused
- 91 himself.
- 92 Mr. Lyons made a motion to rehear Case ZBA18-12: RSA 677:2 and 677:3; Variance denied 08/02/2018;
- 93 motion for reconsideration received 08/22/2018; Susan Kent, applicant / owner; Parcel ID: 0104-0006-
- 94 0000; 1008 Main St, Georges Mills; Zoned VR (Village Residential) with Wetlands and Shorelines Overlay
- 95 District; Variance from Article III, Section 3.40 (c) for a proposed storage shed to be placed within 50-ft
- of Muzzey Creek (a body of water). Mr. Larrow seconded the motion. Vice Chair Simpson said that he
- 97 thinks that it would be beneficial for the Board to elaborate why there was no hardship though he does
- 98 not think anything additional should be added at this point. Mr. Larrow said that there is room to put up
- a shed and still meet the setback requirements, however, it would not meet what the applicant wanted
- to do in terms of size. He thinks that there may also be other options for storage of furniture, which the
- applicant was not open to, for example, adding on to the house. There were other options than a shed
- of the proposed size to be in the setback, however, none of those options were accepted as being
- 103 options. Mr. Simpson asked if the Board should reopen the case and issue a new order. Chairman
- Schneider said that the motion is to rehear the case. Vice Chair Simpson said that the Statute says that
- an applicant can apply for a rehearing in respect to any matter determined in the action or proceeding.
- Mr. Larrow said that the Board needs to determine if they are going to rehear the case or not. Chairman
- 107 Schneider said that the Board is only determining whether or not to have a brand new hearing and
- called for a vote. The motion to rehear the case failed unanimously.

## **ZONING AMENDMENTS**

109

110 111 112 113 114	Vice Chair Simpson asked if Equitable Waivers should be defined in the Zoning Ordinance. Chairman Schneider said that he thought that the Ordinance refers to the Statute because it is always changing. Vice Chair Simpson asked if "Equitable Waiver" should be one of the terms actually defined in the Zoning Ordinance. There was further discussion regarding this matter and the Board determined that they will discuss a possible definition at the joint meeting with the Planning Board.		
115 116	Vice Chair Simpson made a motion to adjourn the meeting at 7:44 pm. Mr. Larrow seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.		
117	Respectfully submitted,		
118	Melissa Pollari		
119			
120			
121			
122	Zoning Board of Adjustment		
123			
124	Daniel Schneider, Chair	Aaron Simpson, Vice Chair	
125			
126	James Lyons, Jr.	William Larrow	
127			
128	George Neuwirt	Clayton Platt, Alternate	